

Contents

- *Preface*
- *Acknowledgement*
 - Local Project Advisory Committee (LPAC)
 - Study Team
 - Abbreviations used
- *Executive Summary*
- **CHAPTER-I: INTRODUCTION**1-10
 - Preamble
 - Scenario Analysis
 - Rationale of the Study
 - Present Study
 - Limitations of the study:
- **CHAPTER-II: OBJECTIVES AND METHODOLOGY** 11-15
 - Objectives
 - Coverage / Scope of the Study
 - Approach:
 - Data requirement and sources:
 - Target population and sample size covered
 - Processing and Analysis of Data
- **CHAPTER-III: CHARACTERISTICS OF PHD SCHOLARS**17-34
 - Prelude
 - Sample Characteristics:
 - University / Institute-wise population
 - Category-wise / year-wise
 - Regional Representation:
 - Top Ten Universities / Institutes on the basis of maximum number of respondents
 - Gender-wise pattern of PhD Scholars
 - University-wise and gender-wise distribution of PhD Scholars from total Vs sample
 - Region-wise and Gender-wise distribution of PhD scholars Vs Net sample and respondents
 - Category-wise and gender-wise distribution of PhD scholars:
 - Area-wise and Social category-wise position
 - Annual family income of PhD scholars at the time of joining PhD program
 - Family's main occupation at the time of PhDs scholars joining PhD program
 - Income and Occupation-wise Analysis
 - Parental Educational profile of PhD respondents
 - Educational Qualification of respondents at the time of admission-Category-wise
 - Enrolment with Test / Interviews: Discipline-wise
 - Type of thesis vis-à-vis topic / work handled
 - Respondents Membership or Non-Membership of professional bodies
 - Motivating Factors
 - Conclusions
- **CHAPTER-IV: RESEARCH PROCESS, FACILITIES AND OUTPUT**35-53
 - Prelude:
 - Enrolment and Selection Criteria for PhD through entrance test / interviews
 - Quality of Research topics / work: Category-wise
 - Choice of Research Topics
 - Choice of Guide for PhD: Category-wise for taking research guide from different agencies
 - Choice of guide from various agencies under different Disciplines
 - Facilities- Fellowship / Scholars / Laboratories etc.
 - Fellowship Analysis: Discipline-wise.

- Discipline-wise Fellow-ship received and who did not:
- Completion of PhD with Age-wise analysis
- Completion of PhDs response category-wise
- Time Duration taken in completing the PhD program by respondents
- Enrolment of Respondents Year-wise and their year of award / out-turn of PhD
- Completing PhD programme by the Respondents: Gender-wise analysis
- Constraints faced during PhD Programme:
- Aspects / constraints that affected research work during the PhD programme
- Publication wise analysis (apart from PhD Thesis)
- PhD Output whether published in International / National Journals: discipline-wise
- Odyssey⁷ of PhD Research
 - During the process and, After completing the process
 - Odyssey of PhDs process - A dichotomy
 - Odyssey of PhDs process - Guide as Demotivator
 - Odyssey of PhDs process- Experimental / research work
 - Odyssey of PhDs- Economic Constraints
 - Reasons when PhDs get frustrated:
- Conclusions

➤ **CHAPTER-V: CAREER PROFILE OF PHD'S IN SCIENCE..... 55-68**

- Prelude
- Placement wise analysis
- Benefits PhD scholars obtained after attaining PhD degree
- Gender-wise response on type of help PhD degree provided
- Level of satisfaction about jobs expressed by PhD respondents
- Whether perusing further research in the subject Domain / specialization of their thesis
- Minimum qualification required for the present post
- Desirable qualification required for the present post
- Present Job work pertains / not pertain to specialization
- Application of Knowledge gained in Research Work to present job
- Correlation of present job with doctorate degree.
- Getting special incentives in present job after obtaining PhD degree
- Would the benefits have been better, if having a professional degree other than PhD?
- Benefits / Outcome after obtaining the Doctoral degree
- Job position of Respondents before Enrolment, during PhD programme & Time Gap in getting Job after completing PhD
- Suggestions to change doctoral research program and major changes suggested by the PhD Scholars- Major suggestions
 - Odyssey of PhD Research
 - Odyssey of PhDs: After completing PhDs:
- Conclusions

➤ **CHAPTER-VI: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND, CONCLUSIONS..... 69-80**

- Preamble
- Chapter-wise Summary of Findings
 - Chapter-I: Introduction
 - Chapter-II: Objective and Methodology
 - Chapter-III: Characteristics of PhD Scholars
 - Chapter-IV: PhD Research Process, Facilities and, Output. The
 - Chapter-V: Career Profile of PhDs in Sciences
- NRIF study stretched:
 - Suggestions:
 - Guide-Student Equation
 - Scientists vs. MBAs
 - Big Business and PhDs
 - Basic Research Overlooked
 - Core Group:

⁷ Odyssey ~ Long adventurous journey, series of wanderings

- Adequate Funding:
 - Need for Applied Research:
 - Research Evaluation
 - Specific Suggestions by cross-section of PhD degree holders:
 - Sectors where PhDs are hot and in demand
 - Sectors where PhDs are not in demand
 - Second Phase of this study under reference:
- **CHAPTER-VII: SUGGESTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS** -----81-83

List of Tables / Boxes / Figures

CHAPTER-I

- Table-1.1: Outturn of Doctorate Degrees awarded for the period: 1991-'92 to 2002-'03
- Table-1.2: Faculty-wise No. of doctorate Degrees awarded during three years
- Table-1.3: PhDs produced during the years 1991 and 1999
- Figure-1.1: Asian: Recipients of doctorates' in USA under S&E by field and country/economy of origin: during the period 1985-2000
- Figure 1.2: Out-turn of PhD's over the years in India (UGC / DST)
- Figure 1. 3: Change of Science Ph.D. holders over the years in India
- Figure-1.4: National Investments on R&D activities during 1998-99

CHAPTER-II: CHAPTER-II: OBJECTIVES AND METHODOLOGY

- Box-2.1: University/ institute with year of Establishment
- Box-2.2: Year-wise Sample coverage at a Glance of 25 Universities / Institutes during the Reference period: 1999-2000 to 2001-2002
- Box-2.3: Region-wise Coverage of Universities / Institutions
- Box-2.4: Selected Universities with PoE on Subjects and / or Area of specialization
- Box-2.5: NAAC: Grading System allotted to our selected Institutes as per the old system

CHAPTER-III: CHARACTERISTICS OF PHD SCHOLARS

- Table-3.1: Univ./ Institute wise: Total population of PhD Scholars vis-à-vis Net Sample & Respondents *(Ref. Period: 1999-00 to 2001-02)
- Table-3.2: Category-wise/ Year wise: Total population of PhD Scholars vis-à-vis Net Sample & Respondents
- Table-3.3: Regional representation: Total Population of PhD Scholars vis-à-vis Sample and Respondents
- Table-3.4: Top Ten Institutes on the basis of maximum no. of respondents
- Table-3.5: Gender wise delineation of PhD Scholars
- Table-3.6: University Wise: Gender wise distribution of PhD scholars Total Vs Net Sample and Respondents (Ref. Period: 1999-2002)
- Table-3-7: Region Wise: Gender wise distribution of PhD scholars Total Vs. Net Sample and Respondents
- Table-3.8: Category Wise: Gender wise distribution of PhD scholars Total Vs Net Sample and Respondents
- Table-3.9: Area Wise / Social Category wise: Population of Respondents
- Table-3.10: Annual Family Income
- Table-3.11: Family's main Occupation
- Table-3.12: Income Group wise Analysis-Occupation-wise
- Table-3.13: Parental Educational profile of PhD respondents
- Table-3.14: Educational Qualification of respondents at the time of admission-Category-wise.
- Table-3.15: Enrolment through test/interview: discipline wise
- Table-3.16: Type of Thesis vis-à-vis topic/work
- Table-3.17: Respondents Membership and Non-membership of professional bodies

- Table-3.18: Motivational factors of respondents.

CHAPTER-IV: RESEARCH PROCESS, FACILITIES AND OUTPUT

- Table-4.1: Enrolment & Selection criteria
- Table 4.2: Quality of Research topics / work: Category-wise
- Table-4.3: Research Topics whether with Industrial application
- Table-4.4: Category-wise: Provision for taking research guide from different agencies
- Table-4.5: Provision for taking research guide from various agencies under different Disciplines
- Table-4.6: Fellowship facility: Category-wise: during PhD received by the respondents
- Table-4.7: Fellowship Analysis: Discipline-wise.
- Table-4.8: Age-wise reveals responses by the ones who completed PhD
- Table-4.9: Completion of PhDs response category-wise
- Table-4.10: Time duration in completing PhD
- Table-4.11: Year-wise enrollment vis-à-vis year of award of PhD
- Table-4.12: Gender- wise period of completion of PhD
- Table-4.12 A: Gender- wise period of completion of PhD
- Table-4.13: Constraints faced by either sex
- Table-4.14: Aspects that affected research
- Table-4.15: Publication Status
- Table-4.16: Publication in National / International Journals

CHAPTER-V: CAREER PROFILE OF PHD'S IN SCIENCE

- Table-5.1: Placements Analysis
- Table-5.1 A: PhD Respondents serving abroad
- Table-5.2: Gender-wise placement
- Table-5.3: Gender-wise nature of placement
- Table-5.4: Gender-wise response on type of help PhD degree provided
- Table-5.5: Special incentives in present job
- Table-5.6: Benefits by professional degree other than PhD
- Table-5.7: Benefits / outcome after obtaining PhD degree
- Table-5.8: Overall impact of doctoral research programme in performance
- Table-5.9: Job position of respondents before enrolment for PhD
- Table-5.9A: Time gap in job position after awarding PhD
- Table-5.10: Suggestions for improving Research programme

CHAPTER-VI: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

- Table-6.1: Out-turn under Science faculties during the reference period: 1990-2002

Annexes

- *Annex-2.1: Selected Universities / Institutes with Name of the Faculty of Sciences*
- *Annex-2.2: Classification of Natural Sciences, Mathematical Science & Computers and, Inter-disciplinary & their Sub-classification*
- *Annex-2.3: Brief write-up on PoE and NAAC is given for information and reference.*

Appendices

- *Appendix-I: “Data Format Devised for Canvassing from the Selected CUs / IoNI”*
- *Appendix-II: “Data Format Devised for Canvassing from Scholars Holding Doctorates”*